Assessment Scoring System for Central-Line Insertion Site: Infection Prevention of Bloodstream Infections

NHS Mid Yorkshire Teaching

Author: Beverly-Anne Levers BACCN Conference 2024, 07-08 October Aberdeen



To develop a multiracial central-line assessment scoring system to be used within critical care

Student Number: 21903571

Rationale and Background

- CVC are an essential device entry portal pathogens = BSI (12).
- Central-line associated blood stream infections (CLABSI) is a positive laboratory blood culture (not with a common skin pathogen), with a central line present >2 ICU days/removed <2 days - signs and symptoms of an infection & no infection at another site. Peripheral IV catheters are not considered CVC (7).
- Preventable nosocomial infection prolonged stays up to 8 days, increase risk of morbidity, mortality and hospital readmission (14;15;16;
- CLABSI increases within chronic illness, immune-suppressed, malnutrition, TPN, burns and location (14).
- Historically focus on insertion techniques insertion site monitoring to ameliorate CLABSI (13; 22).



Method

An electronic database was used for the systematic literature review. The advance search methods and limiter allowed the use of Boolean operators. Inclusion and exclusion criteria were compiled for further screening. The brief limited the included articles. Preferred Reporting Items for systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines were used to demonstrate the search. The critical Appraisal Kill Program tool was used to critical appraise the research.

Key Messages

The use of an appropriate central-line assessment score has the potential to increase compliance, reduce CLABSI and therefore improve patient care and reduce our patients increase risk or morbidity mortality.

Evidence

Two quasi-experimental quality improvement studies (13; 15), 1 retrospective case control studies (17) and 1 quality improvement study (38) were included.

- 1. Two quasi-experimental quality improvement studies (13;15):
- Introduction of an electronic bundle found score of 2 or 3 decreased 78.2% (CL 95%, p= <0.001) and CLABSI decreased 28% (p=0.42). However, found that a reduction in the assessment scores did not reduce CLABSI (13).
- b) Introduction of an electronic bundle found compliance increased from 55% to 95% at 6 months and a reduction of CLABSI of 8.1 to 0.5 per 1000 CVC days (p<0.05) (15).
- 2. One retrospective case control studies (17): the association between site inflammation and development of CLABSI. This study demonstrated that site inflammation and a confirmed CLABSI in 30.4% compared to 22.4% (95% CL, p= 0.36).
- 3. One quality improvement study (38): noted that multi racial skin was not inclusive in CVP scoring systems. Prior to the Introduction of a new scoring system using photo scores were 3-4. following the intervention eradication of scores 3-4 (38).

Assessment Score for Central-Line Insertion Site

Adapted from:13;38				
Description	Action	Score	White, Pale and Asian Skins	African, Caribbean and Asian Skins
Normal skin colour- no erythema, localised swelling, or drainage. Dressing intact	No signs of infection -Continue 12 hourly assessments. -Weekly dressing changes and when required	0	1	1
Erythema/ darker/ shiny/ dull skin at insertion site <3mm radius- drainage/ exudate, crusts or bleeding. No swelling at the site Pain or pyrexia If neutropenic- may not show any of these signs	Possible or early signs of infection -Continue 12 hourly assessments -Verbally communicate at nursing handover -Swab exit site -Await results	1	11	4
Erythema/ darker/ shiny/ dull skin at insertion site 3- 6mm Or worsening present within 24hrs Drainage/ exudate/ Pus, crusts or bleeding. Skin localised swelling Pain or pyrexia	-Verbally communicate with medical team -swab exit site -Await results -Advise removal/ re-site -if not removed, document reason and a plan	2	71	2
Erythema at insertion site >6mm -worsening in size and brightness purulent (yellow pus) drainage and/ or crusting (erythema not required) Swelling present	-Urgently inform medical team -Prepare for line removal/ re-site	3		t

Conclusion: ICU patients are at an increased risk of a CLABSI, a nosocomial infection which impacts patient care (13; 15; 16; 30). Peripheral IV catheters are not classified as a CVC (7) therefore using a scoring system which has been designed to recognise infection in a peripheral IV catheter is not appropriate. Through a change to the scoring system and empowering nurses with signposted actions, which has been adapted from Gohil et al., (13), to aim and reduce CLABSI and therefore better outcomes.

Full poster information:

